UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,251	02/06/2004	Eiichi Mori	042081	6493
	7590	EXAMINER		
1250 CONNEC	TICUT AVENUE, NV	VU, PHU		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPÉR NUMBER
			2871	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 01/17/2007		01/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		10/772,251	MORI, EIICHI			
	·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Phu Vu	2871			
Period fo	or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B6(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Se	eptember 2006.	<u>.</u>			
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	<u> </u>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🔀	Claim(s) 1-8 and 11-14 is/are pending in the a	polication				
٠,٣	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 11-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Ap ity documents have been r i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachme-	tte)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notic	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 9/14/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-8 and 11-14 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Fukuyama. A previous rejection was made in view of Fukuyama however this rejection refers to a separate embodiment, which was previously not referenced before.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuo as in view of Fukuyama et. al US Patent 6741299.

Regarding claim 1, Matsuo teaches a display device comprising: a display unit having a plate (fig. 2 element4), a chassis (fig. 2 elements 5 and 67) surrounding peripheral edges of the plate; and a bezel (fig. 1, 2 element 6) formed on the chassis and holding peripheral edges of the plate, and a cover (figs 1-3 element 51) enclosing the back-side surface of the display unit the bezel being provided with an extension portion (fig. 1 and 3 around element 66) that extends from a side of the display unit and

Art Unit: 2871

outwardly projects from beyond an end of the chassis at a level of an upper surface of the cover. The chassis (element 67 and element 5) are integral therefore both elements are considered a chassis interpreting the chassis this way meets the limitation of surrounding the peripheral edges of the plate and supporting the peripheral edges of the plate. The limitation of support is interpreted broadly as the chassis (element 5 and 67) supports an edge of the plate (at element 67) and indirectly supports the plate through supporting another element (see fig. 2 element 2).

Matsuo teaches all the limitations of the claim except a display device that has height equivalent to the total height of the display unit and cover only. Fukuyama teaches a display unit where the total height is equal to the total height of the display unit and cover only (see fig. 3 element 100– cover) to reduce thickness (see column 1 lines 5-11). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a display to modify the cover such that the total thickness of the display is of the cover and display unit only to reduce overall thickness of the display...

Regarding claims 5, claim 5 is identical to claim 1 with the exception of the preamble, which recites "an electronic apparatus" instead of a display device. A display device is considered an electronic apparatus.

Regarding claims 2 and 6, the reference teaches the bezel extension portion is disposed in a vicinity of a base portion of display unit (see fig. 1 element 66).

Regarding claim 3 and 7, the reference teaches the display unit arranged in a rectangular formation, and the bezel extension portion is extends from a lateral side of the display unit (see fig. 1 element 66).

Regarding claim 4 and 8, the reference teaches an inside space surrounded by end surfaces of the display unit (fig. 1 element 4) and the cover (fig. 1 element 1), and formed beneath a back-side of the surface of the bezel extension portion, and an electronic part (fig. 1 element 1) is disposed within the space.

Regarding claim 11, a the reference teaches display unit: having a plate (fig. 1 element 4), a chassis (fig. 2 elements 5 and 67) surrounding peripheral edges of the plate and a bezel (fig. 1, 2 element 6) formed on the chassis holding the peripheral edges of the plate, the bezel being provided with an extension portion (fig. 1 and 3 around element 66) which is extended from a side of the display unit and outwardly projects from an end of the chassis.

Regarding claims 12, the reference teaches the bezel extension portion is disposed in a vicinity of a base portion of display unit (see fig. 1 element 66).

Regarding claim 13, the reference teaches the display unit arranged in a rectangular formation, and the bezel extension portion is extends from a lateral side of the display unit (see fig. 1 element 66).

Regarding claim 14, the reference teaches an inside space surrounded by end surfaces of the display unit (fig. 1 element 4) and the cover (fig. 1 element 1), and formed beneath a back-side of the surface of the bezel extension portion, and an electronic part (fig. 1 element 1) is disposed within the space.

Art Unit: 2871

Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phu Vu whose telephone number is (571)-272-1562.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571)-272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phu Vu Examiner AU 2871

ANDREW SCHECHTER